

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/607,926	06/27/2003	Nathan L. Shou	42P15606 8657	
75'	90 08/08/2005	EXAMINER		
Todd M. Beck		WONG, ERIC K		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	2883 DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005		
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1028			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/607,926		SHOU, NATHAN L.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Eric Wong		2883				
The MAILING DATE	of this communication app	l	r sheet with the c		Idress			
Period for Reply								
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availat after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m - If the period for reply specified ab - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or ex	ove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period w dended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory minuity will apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 23 Ma	ay 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	is action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers				•				
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19							
a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copi 2. Certified copi 3. Copies of the application from	made of a claim for foreign (c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau ailed Office action for a list	s have been rece s have been rece rity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive 2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage .			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (P		4) 🗌	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Pater Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 6)	Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/607,926

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims 1, 9, and 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 9-10, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Number 6,178,044 to Li et al.

As to claims 1, 3, 9-10, and 12-13, Li et al. discloses in figure 2B an optical isolator having an input and output, the optical isolator comprising:

- A phase retardation plate (half wave plate) positioned at the input; and
- An optical Faraday rotator positioned between the phase retardation plate (112) and the output, the isolator comprising a Faraday rotator (118) positioned between a first (116) and second (122) polarizer.

However, Li et al. fails to explicitly disclose that the phase retardation plate is the first polarization-modifying component encountered by the optical signal.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place a phase retardation plate as the first component of an optical isolator, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in

the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70. Examiner's contention of this obvious choice in design can

be overcome if applicant establishes unexpected results by arranging the components in the

location as claimed.

4. Claims 5-6, 14-15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Li et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's disclosure of prior art.

Li et al. discloses an optical isolator but fails to explicitly disclose the use of polarization

maintaining fibers at the input and output. It is respectfully noted that polarization maintaining

fibers (PMF) are commonly used in the optical communication art for preventing back

reflections to an optical source.

Applicant's disclosure of prior art goes into further detail of how such PMFs work in

figure 1B and paragraphs 17 and 18.

Since Li et al. and Applicant's prior art are both from the same field of endeavor, the

purpose disclosed by applicant would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Li et al.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the PMF disclosed in applicant's disclosure of prior art in Li et al. in

order to redeuce optical transmission errors by reducing the amount of light reflected back to the

source.

5. Claims 2, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et

al. as applied to claim 1 above.

Li et al. discloses an optical isolator that uses a Faraday rotator but fails to explicitly

disclose the use of a second Faraday rotator.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,926

Art Unit: 2883

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a second Faraday rotator, in order to properly adjust the polarization of the signal for its intended use and since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPO 8.

6. Claims 7-8, 16, 18, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's disclosure of prior art.

Li et al. discloses an optical isolator with optical input ports that receive optical signals, but fails to explicitly disclose the use of a tunable optical laser input. It is respectfully noted that tunable lasers are commonly used in the art to generate optimal optical signals in an optical communications system.

Applicant's disclosure of prior art includes such tunable optical lasers of a typical optical communications system in figure 1A (102).

Since Li et al. and Applicant's prior art are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by applicant would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Li et al.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the inputs of the optical isolator disclosed by Li et al. would receive signal light from a source such as a tunable laser in order to properly transmit signals with minimal errors and losses and to select wavelengths and frequencies for its intended use.

As to claim 18, a half wave plate is disclosed as mentioned above in the rejection of claims 3 and 12.

Page 5

7. Claims 4 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicant's disclosure of prior art.

Li et al. discloses an optical isolator with a half wave plate, but fails to explicitly disclose angling the plate relative to a light path.

Applicant's disclosure of prior art includes such an angled waveplate (220, figure 2B).

Since Li et al. and Applicant's prior art are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by applicant would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Li et al.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the angled waveplate disclosed by Applicant in Li et al. in order to reduce errors in transmission and to optimize the optical signals being transmitted through said isolator.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830AM - 430PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,926

Art Unit: 2883

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW

Frank G. Font **Supervisory Patent Examiner** Technology Center 2800

Page 6